Case Conclusion Data Sheet

Please click here for instructions for completing the form

Program Contact: Phone:		Scott Hansen 6-1999		EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.				
ORC A	ttorney:	Craig Melodia 3-8870				275163		
Status	s:	☐ Draft ⊠ Fin	al 🗌 Upda	te				
	BACKGROUND	Beatlan Normban				- <i>(</i> -		
1.	ORC DOCKET Matter Number:				•	n/a - /-		
2.	Regional Hearing Clerk Docket Number:				·	n/a - /-		
3.	Program Docke	t Number:			'	n/a		
4.	Judicial Court D		4 4b			C-1-00-424		
*5.		ld Defendants if ot he Skinner MSW			,	United States v. Elsa-Skinner,		
EIAL	Additional Def		Cousell De	; ree)		City of Blue Ash; City		
of Deer of Mon	r Park; City of N		ason; City o	f Sharonv	rille; Villa	age of Lincoln Helghts; Village		
FACILI	TY INFORMATION	ON						
6.	EPA Program F		F Site No. 73	1				
*7.	Facility Name: Skinner Landfill							
*8.	Facility Street Address: Cincinnati-Dayton Road							
	City, State, Zipo County:			n/a				
* 9.	Primary 4-digit	NAICS/SICCode:	<u>n/a</u>					
10.	Other 4-digit NA	ICS/SIC codes:	<u>n/a</u>					
CTATH	ITES AND ALITE	ORIZING SECTIO	N INFORMA	TION				
SIAIU	*Media Progra		IN INFORMA	CERCL	۸			
*11.		 Section(s) Violated	•	CERCL				
*12.		tion for Administra		CERCL				
12.	*Violation Typ		iuve Actions.	Disposa				
	••	•		Бюроо				
ACTIO	N TYPE							
*13.	Action Type:	Consent Decree				il judicial action		
*14 .		Complaint Issuance	e Date:	11/01/20				
14a.	ALJ Decision:				11/01/20			
14b.	EAB Appeal D				11/01/20			
14c.	EAB Decision				11/01/20			
*15.		tive Penalty Orde			11/01/20			
*16.		Compliance Order	Date:		11/01/20			
*16a.	Notice of Deter	nination Date:			11/01/20	01		

11/01/2001

*16b. Field Citation Date:

18.	Civil Judical F	keterral Date:	Civil Judical Referral Date: 04/23/2000					
	Civil Judicial	Complaint Filed	:	05/26/2000				
19.		ree Lodge Date	•	05/26/2000				
20.	Consent Dec	ree Entry Date:		04/02	2/2001			
21.	Was this a m	ulti-media actior	1?	○ Yes ● No)			
23. 24.	Was this action part of a geographic initiative: ○ Yes ● No Which (Check all that apply)?							
24a.	Priority/Secto	or						
25.	•	•	en in response to E	Environmental J	ustice Conc	erns? O Yes	No	
26.	Is this a Sma	ll Business?	○ Ye:	s No				
26a.	Was this a se	elf-disclosure?	○ Ye	s 🗨 No				
27.	Was Alternati	ive Dispute Res	olution used in this	s action?	• Yes	⊃ No		
QUALI	TATIVE AND	QUANTITATIVE	INFORMATION					
require	nent/order and	actions to be tal	Activity: Include to ken by violator to ro the following list.	return to complia	ance or mee	et additional	No	
29.	Provide Description of Injunctive Relief/Compliance Activity:							
reimb	ursement of r	esponse costs	pall: da Acode		- Service .			
30.			previous question			by violator is pre	eferred	
hysic	al actions:	n/a	Non-Physical	Actions:	n/a			
	Acres in Viola							
31.		ation:						
32.	Quantitative (mpact of injunctive	relief/compliance	ce actions d	escribed in pre	vious	
	Quantitative o		•	relief/compliand	ce actions d	escribed in pre	vious	
32. questic	Quantitative o	environmental ir	•	s ^a Perce	ent%	escribed in pre	vious	
32. questic Pollut	Quantitative ons: REDUCTION	environmental ir	NS: *Units/Acres (Express in an	s ^a Perce	ent%		vious	
32. questic *Pollut N/a N/a	Quantitative ons: REDUCTION	environmental ir	NS: *Units/Acres (Express in an	s ^a Perce	ent%		vious	
32. questic Pollut N/a N/a N/a	Quantitative ons: REDUCTION	environmental ir	NS: *Units/Acres (Express in an	s ^a Perce	ent%		vious	
32. questic Pollut N/a N/a	Quantitative ons: REDUCTION	environmental ir	NS: *Units/Acres (Express in an	s ^a Perce	ent%		vious	
Pollut N/a N/a N/a N/a N/a	Quantitative ons: REDUCTION tant/Land Use	environmental ir	*Units/Acres (Express in ani	s *Perce nual (of pollu reduced	ent%		vious	
Pollut N/a N/a N/a N/a N/a	Quantitative ons: REDUCTION tant/Land Use	environmental ir	NS: *Units/Acres (Express in an	s *Perce nual (of pollu reduced	ent%		vious	

07/11/2001 2 05:38:28 PM

38. Assessed Penalty: n/a

39. If Shared Federal Share: n/a

40. If Shared State or Local Share: n/a

41. For multi-media actions: Federal amounts by Statute

1 (**)	of the
Eq. ()	
m5_8 = 5.5	
and the state of t	
(b. 2) (c)	
$\langle \kappa t_k r_{ij} \rangle$	and the second s

COST RECOVERY (SUPERFUND ONLY)

42. Amount of cost recovery award: 17,218.10

State and/or Local government: 17,218.10

Other: 0

*PLEASE ADD ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, INCLUDING SHORT CASE SUMMARY:

This is a consent decree for cost recovery only against a group of municipalities pursuant to EPA's MSW Policy (Skinner #2 or MSW CD). There is a separate consent decree that was entered the same day against a group of PRPs for implementation of the RA and cost recovery (Skinner #1 or RA CD). Sherry Estes is the attorney assigned to the RA CD.

DOCUMENT HISTORY